

REMARKS

This is in response to the Office Action mailed on April 13, 2006, 2006. In the Office Action, restriction to one of the three inventions was required for claims 1-37. Reexamination and reconsideration of this case is respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

No claims have been added, cancelled or amended by this response. Applicant believes that no new matter has been added by this response.

I) RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In paragraph 1 of the Office Action, claims 1-5, 17-21 and 33-37 were restricted to Specie I, claims 6-10, and 22-26 were restricted to Specie II, and claims 11-16 and 27-32 were restricted to Specie III. As indicated in the Office Action, Claim 1 is generic to claims 1-10 and 17-26 (i.e. to Species I and II).

Applicant hereby elects to go forward with claims 1-5, 17-21 and 33-37 in Specie I to comply with the restriction requirement.

Attorney Docket No.: 000024C1
Customer No.: 23696

CONCLUSION

Applicant has elected to go forward with claims 1-5, 17-21 and 33-37 in Specie I to comply with the restriction requirement. Should the Examiner determine that additional issues remain which might be resolved by a telephone conference, the Examiner is respectfully invited to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 10, 2006

By: Ramin Mobarhan

Ramin Mobarhan, Reg. No. 50,182
(858) 658-2447

QUALCOMM Incorporated
5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, California 92121
Telephone: (858) 651-4132
Facsimile: (858) 658-2502

Attorney Docket No.: 000024C1
Customer No.: 23696